

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/23/2022 8:03:04 PM

First name: Susan

Last name: Ford

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am a 3rd generation owner of a cabin directly across the lake from Holland Lodge.

Because of Forest Service restrictions on my lease:

1. I cannot lease my cabin for a profit.
2. Initially we could not cut trees, and after the Crazy Horse Fire, I must have a follow a fire abatement plan, but I must maintain the old growth forest.
3. You cannot see my cabin from the lake.
4. I can only use my cabin in the summer months.

I am happy to follow these rules, because everyone around me is also required to follow these rules, which is equitable and maintains the wildness of the area. At present, I do not have potable water at my cabin, and I make do.

I am deeply opposed to the Forest Service allowing the Lodge to break these rules on a huge scale. They propose to:

1. Ensure POWDR makes a profit. This is not valid because we are expressly excluded from profiting. I am not opposed to the lodge profiting, but using profit as an excuse to make a bigger facility defies the logic of fairness. This is the people's land. We do not owe POWDR a profit. If they purchased the lodge in the interest of making profit, they should do so with the existing structure. That is already more than I can do with my cabin.
2. Cut many trees and put in many buildings, without maintaining the forest.
3. Create major visual, light, and noise impact from one source.
4. Allow year round use, impacting the wildlife that normally gets respite in winter, during a time of decreased resources.
5. Provide potable water to a large number of people.

In the interest of consistency, the Forest Service should apply limitations equally. Make the Lodge follow the same rules as the cabin holders, or at the very least, do not allow them to expand the exceptions they already have. Forest Service land belongs to the people. If the people who have cabins have limited use, a public company should not be afforded more use of the people's land. As an interest holder in the land being impacted, I am opposed to the expansion of the Lodge.