

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/26/2022 2:06:01 AM

First name: Camm

Last name: Swift

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comments on Forest Service report on River Values Assessment for Piru Creek Wild and Scenic River, by Camm Swift, August 25, 2022.

This report is largely well-reasoned and I just have a few minor comments.

1. P. 11, it lists three species, rainbow trout (steelhead), prickly sculpin, and arroyo chub as native, and properly later notes arroyo chub was actually introduced so technically non-native but still valuable as stocks of it are depleted within its original native range. However, prickly sculpin are also introduced and did not appear until the beginning of Aqueduct water flows brought them in (Swift, et al. 1993).

2.P. 11, says no special status species are present but the arroyo chub is a California Species of Special Concern. Possibly an extralimital population like this is not covered by this designation?

3.The reference in the text to the fish study CDWR 2019, should be 2019a.

4.In the Boughton et al. 2006 reference, my name and a couple of others should be added to the list of authors as follows:

Boughton, D. A., P. Adams, E. Andersen, C. Fusaro, E. A. Kelly, E. Kelly, L. Lentsch, J. Neilsen, K. Perry, H. M. Regan, J. J. Smith, C. Swift, L. Thompson, and F. Watson. 2006. Steelhead of the South Central/Southern California coast; Population characterization for recovery planning. Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SWFC 394

Swift, C. C., T. R. Haglund, M. Ruiz, and R. N. Fisher. 1993. Status and distribution of the freshwater fishes of southern California. Bull. S. Calif. Acad. Sci., 93(3):101-167.