Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/21/2022 4:00:00 AM First name: Susan Last name: Marett Organization: Title: Comments: Dear Secretary Vilsack and Secretary Haaland,

It's exciting that you'll be taking the next steps to advance President Biden's Executive Order on Strengthening the Nation's Forests, Communities, and Local Economies.

As you know, protecting our remaining mature and old-growth forests and trees on federal lands represents one of the simplest and most cost-effective climate policies the U.S. can deploy at scale. But time is running short: the climate and biodiversity crises are growing exponentially worse, with unthinkable tipping points around thecorner; Congress has failed to make significant progress on these crises through the tools it has at hand;and therefore it is critical that you fulfill the President's directive to provide lasting protections for thesetrees, which may well prove to be our last line of climate defense. For clarity of direction, 'mature' should be defined as 80 years. By establishing the age of mature forestsand trees at 80 years, federal agencies will establish a safety net that assures minimum protection of theecological and carbon benefits they provide for future generations. These forests collectively contain thebulk of the carbon already stored in federal forests and they continue to sequester carbon at high rates. They also provide, across forest types, vital habitat and biodiversity benefits, and important sources ofdrinking water for communities. Critically, protecting mature forests and trees today will provide thefoundation to recover old growth ecosystems which have largely been lost to logging across thelandscape.President Biden's Earth Day Executive Order rightly recognized the critical role mature and old growthforests play as a climate solution, as well as the urgent need to confront the threats forests face. If continued logging of these trees is allowed, the very values that let them play a vital role will beeliminated. Losing more of our mature & amp; amp; old-growth trees and forests to logging will only make theclimate crisis worse: Scientific research indicates that logging of federal forests is a major source of carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere that is at least comparable to, and probably greater than, levels associated with wildfires. Let me be clear: logging, not wildfire, drought, or pests, is the greatestthreat to our mature forests.A recent USDA Secretarial Memorandum stated that "A primary threat to old-growth stands on nationalforests is no longer timber harvesting, but rather catastrophic wildfire and other disturbances resultingfrom the combination of climate change and past fire exclusion." This statement represents analarmingly inaccurate assessment of threats to mature and old-growth forests. Numerous examples of logging projects across the country that target mature and old growth trees, including projects in thename of "restoration", "hazardous fuels reduction" and "wildfire mitigation," underscore this point. Notonly is the threat of logging to mature and old-growth federal forests pressing, it is one that is entirely within federal land management agencies' power to address. Such a rule can be readily structured toleave room for ecologically appropriate risk reduction of uncharacteristic wildfire, which is very largelydriven by small trees and brush, not big, fire-resistant trees that have survived for generations. There is a wealth of good scientific literature underscoring these points. If the Biden administration is to do all it can & amp; mdash; and must & amp; mdash; to limit atmospheric carbon levels, and demonstrate international leadership, these protections must be made through binding regulations that will endure in future administrations, much as the Clinton-era Roadless Rule has done. To ensure a rulecan be adopted on the necessary urgent time frame, with opportunity for robust public engagement and environmental review, it is critical for federal agencies to initiate a rule-making process as soon aspossible. Therefore, we can't get hung up dithering over definitions; it's the underlying management of these mature forests that needs addressing. In summary, I urge the US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Interior to work together toinitiate as soon as possible a rulemaking based on a definition of mature forests and trees of 80 years, topermanently end the avoidable loss of their critically important carbon, water and wildlife values tologging.