

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/18/2022 6:00:00 AM

First name: Ann

Last name: Harding

Organization:

Title:

Comments: These are my comments re; the Bitterroot Front Project.

All the mechanized activity required for this scale and duration will negatively impact animal habitat and forage, stream water quality, re-generation of resulting ground openings, intensify heat, and release carbon emissions. These and all other relative impacts demand an actual EIS be applied.

Old growth trees are vital to forest health and longevity, including the animal kingdom and the earth beneath. NONE should be removed. Trees depend on one another for water and nutrient dispersal, protection from high winds, and hosting beneficial bird activity (like pecking out larvae). These should not be included in commercial cuts to entice bids. They are their most valuable left standing.

Interaction with government agencies to control or eliminate WUI building and occupation is ESSENTIAL if we are ever going to reduce the loss of life and property values which are so horrifying.

This "Condition Based" approach to formulate treatment may likely eliminate citizen awareness of what is going to happen where. It may end up being as bad an invention as the recent "Visual Sighting" of quality applied to the state's wild waters that was rejected by the EPA.