Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/15/2020 12:00:00 PM

First name: June Last name: Anon Organization:

Title:

Comments: Make a better plan to keep Shoshone National Forest wild

Dear Shoshone National Forest Supervisor Lisa Timchak,

As personal note, ATVs are permitted access to USFS land close to my home in Montana. The ATV users are are noisy and they disturb people and wildlife. Many are just plain careless, leaving a lot of trash, including bottles, cans, food wrappers, TP and human waste at the end of the road, where they park to unload their ATVs. They have caused so much erosion from the steep hillside, that the mud has formed a berm across the road, and has filled in much of the streamed where the road crosses it. In summer, when the vegetation is extremely dry and fire danger is very high, we worry about our house burning down in a forest fire, because many of the ATV users smoke, and throw their cigarette butts everywhere. Allowing more access by motorized vehicles is fun for ATV, snow machine and motorcycle users, but it it is obnoxious to wildlife, vegetation, and people who live nearby or like to enjoy a peaceful walk or ski in the woods once in a while. More importantly, they are dangerous! Access should be carefully considered, and regulated, and motorized vehicles should be kept out when fire danger is high.

Dear Supervisor Timchak,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Shoshone National Forest Travel Management Plan's preliminary Environmental Assessment (EA). As an American, I am keenly interested in how Shoshone National Forest?s public lands are managed.

The Shoshone National Forest, surrounding the eastern and southern sides of Yellowstone National Park and providing essential habitat for a myriad of wildlife species that live throughout the Greater Yellowstone Ecoregion, is a special forest with a unique wild character, and it should receive the best possible management. Unfortunately, I don't think the preliminary EA measures up to the high management standard that is needed, in numerous ways.

Because of the unique location and wild character of the Shoshone National Forest, the Forest Service should prioritize protection of wildlife and critical wildlife habitat on the Shoshone, but I don't see that prioritization in this preliminary assessment. I see the potential for significant impacts to wildlife from existing and expanded motorized use, both winter and summer, and I do not see appropriate detailed analysis of those potential impacts in the EA. For example, grizzly bears as they emerge from hibernation likely will be impacted by late season snowmobiling and motorized snow biking in some areas that are open to motorized use. Ungulates with newborn calves may be significantly harmed by motorized use, both snow-based and land-based vehicles. All potential impacts to wildlife from motorized travel on the forest must be identified and appropriately analyzed, and that hasn't been adequately done in this EA.

Potential conflicts between motorized and non-motorized recreational users, both winter and summer, are not analyzed, and measures to avoid or minimize those impacts are not evaluated. Potential impacts of motorized use to riparian areas, wet meadows, steep hills with substantial erosion potential, or other resource-damaging problems are not adequately analyzed.

In light of the many obvious potential significant impacts to wildlife, conflicts between different types of recreation uses, and possible damage to natural resources, it is apparent that an EA is not sufficient. I therefore urge you to withdraw this inadequate EA and redo the necessary analyses of all potentially significant impacts in an Environmental Impact Statement.

Thank you.
Sincerely,
June Mohler
[PII]

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.