

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/21/2022 4:00:00 AM

First name: Mary

Last name: Rose

Organization:

Title:

Comments: To: Ken Arney and James Melonas, U.S. Forest Service  
Re: Objection to Nantahala and Pisgah NFs Plan Revision #43545  
Dear Ken Arney and James Melonas, U.S. Forest Service, I'm writing to urge you to strengthen protections and increase protected areas in The Pisgah-Nantahala Forest Plan. The plan should not quadruple logging and weaken protections for this precious national forest. My opinion is that the plan is disastrous as written. I agree with the recommendations proposed by the Center for Biological Diversity and I urge you to revise the plan as follows:

- Protect all 101,000 acres of the most important recreation and conservation areas.
- Protect all remaining old-growth forests.
- Protect the entire Craggy National Scenic Area.
- Prohibit logging on steep slopes.
- Prohibit logging in the Appalachian Trail watershed and other major trail corridors and watersheds.
- Prohibit logging within 100 feet of all waterways, including ephemeral streams.
- Consider the carbon and climate benefits of mature and old-growth forests for all decisions.
- Reduce logging and pesticide use.
- Protect all of the state natural heritage areas.
- Include plans to help safeguard specific species, as well as robust, enforceable protections for their habitat.
- Accurately account for natural disturbance and the need for more old growth forests.

In its draft record of decision and final environmental impact statement, the Forest Service fails to properly analyze 4,000 acres of the Craggy and Big Ivy sections, which contain old-growth forests, popular recreation trails, panoramic vistas and municipal drinking-water sources. Instead of including these key conservation and recreation areas in its Forest Scenic Area designation, the agency has placed them in its highest-priority logging designations. The Forest Service must amend its plans to include 4,000 acres of Snowball Mountain, North Fork, Shope Creek and Ox Creek in its Forest Scenic Area designation. In addition, in choosing Alternative E [dash] rather than any of the four alternatives released to the public [dash] the Forest Service has picked a final plan that wasn't available for public comment. Alternative E fails to address the key concerns and priorities expressed by most forest users. More than 92% of 22,000 public comments supported stronger protections and more protected areas. The plan fails to protect more than 101,000 acres of important conservation and recreation hotspots. It places thousands of acres of old-growth forests and 65,000 acres of North Carolina's natural heritage areas in the highest-priority logging designations [dash] while placing more than a quarter-million more old-growth acres in logging-priority designations. The plan permits logging in the A.T. National Scenic Trail, Bartram Trail, Benton MacKaye Trail, and National Historic Trail of Tears corridors. I strongly object to the plan and request that the Forest Service fix the plan's significant errors, flaws, erroneous assumptions and inaccuracies as detailed in the bullet points above. I am willing to consolidate my objection with the I Heart Pisgah coalition. Thank you for your consideration. I truly hope you will do the right thing and revise this plan!