

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/25/2022 3:53:16 PM

First name: Josh

Last name: Bruhn

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Seems pointless to take more trees from the most heavily logged National Forest in the US to improve resilience. It seems that if we stuck to a forestry plan for once, that would improve resilience. Is there evidence that the spruce are noxious or bad for the area? Based on the history of logging in the Black Hills, it just looks like a ploy to get more pines to grow since we've overlogged the rest of them. I'm open to the idea, but based on the information provided and a history of sub-par forest management, why should we support this? And who will pay for it? Where will you find the workers? Sometimes you just need to stop meddling.