

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/7/2021 2:21:06 PM

First name: Elias

Last name: Anoszko

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing to oppose both Alternative 2 and 3 and request that the USFS adopt Alternative 1 the no action alternative. The proposed development in Alternatives 2 and 3 would negatively impact the scenery of the area, negatively impact the SHT user experience and could potentially disrupt sensitive species such as Canada Lynx. If Lutsen wants to expand they should exchange the land with the USFS for equivalent or better private lands along the North shore that provide similar scenic and recreational value to the public.