

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/23/2021 3:33:52 PM

First name: Jerrold

Last name: Coy

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I agree with the accesement below and prefer Alternative C

The TPA, CORE, and CSA are supporting Alternative C with modifications and will make site-specific recommendations to the US Forest Service.

This is the best alternative for motorized recreation because:

It appears to be the closest thing to current management

Is the most flexible with less zoning restrictions

Allows more management of the forest in the event of natural forces (fire, floods, landslides, etc.) and recreation development.

We support Alternative C with the following modifications:

The addition of verbiage from Alternative B that protects motorized access to the Continental Divide Trail and areas around the trail.

The addition of specific protection to any route that has already been approved as a motorized route in site-specific Travel Management by the FS. In particular any of these routes that have been proposed to be encompassed by a Primitive or Semi Primitive Non-Motorized ROS category.

Consistency between Wildlife Management Area trail densities and best available science that are based on wildlife population counts published by CPW.