

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/22/2021 6:57:11 AM

First name: Miri

Last name: Gillihan

Organization:

Title:

Comments: It seems like every time we turn around PNF has come up with another plan to reduce access, decommission roads, and tell us where we can't pitch a tent.

I am concerned about the negative impacts of reducing access to public land in the name of watershed improvement and minimizing resource impacts. Reducing access reduces our ability to actively manage our land. Fire suppression, rescue operations, dispersed recreation, access for disabled and elderly, private property access and the local economy also take a hit.

I challenge the Environmental Analysis Process to seriously examine the benefits that sustained or increased access produces.

Will the EAP look at watershed improvements and management activities in the project area that are not related to roads and trails?