

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/17/2021 11:00:00 AM

First name: Shelby

Last name: Baumgartner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: [External Email]Shoshone NF Travel Management Planning Project

[External Email]

If this message comes from an unexpected sender or references a vague/unexpected topic;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: [Spam.Abuse@usda.gov](mailto:Spam.Abuse@usda.gov)

Greetings,

This letter is being written to voice my opposition to the alternatives that reduce the amount of roads and trails on the NF.

I am writing to address the environmental assessment for travel management. I am a user of the Shoshone NF year-round with summer 4 wheeling, fishing, fall hunting and winter snowmobiling being my uses of the public land.

Keeping roads open to all vehicles is of the utmost importance. Alternative 1 keeps roads accessible to all vehicles and that is what I would like to see continue. Changing the names from 'Roads' to 'Trails' is a slight of hand trick that will limit access to roads that are already maintained to allow all vehicles to access. I support adding new roads and trails that have intended uses, such as use for all vehicles or for less than 64" or 50". Keeping the forest more accessible is something that I strongly support. I do not support alternatives 2, 3 or 4 since it makes unnecessary changes to the current MVUM.

Additionally, I do not support changing season of use dates beyond what they currently are. The current dates limit resource damage beyond what has been historically done.

Thanks for listening,

Shelby Baumgartner

Wyoming Resident