

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/25/2021 11:00:00 AM

First name: steve

Last name: rutledge

Organization:

Title:

Comments: [External Email]Shoshone NF Travel Management Planning Project

[External Email]

If this message comes from an unexpected sender or references a vague/unexpected topic;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: [Spam.Abuse@usda.gov](mailto:Spam.Abuse@usda.gov)

Hello. I am a regular hiker and outdoor enthusiast. After careful reading of your very detailed Environmental Impact Statement, I choose Alternate 3 for the Shoshone National Forest plan. I like that it provides more solitude, more primitive recreation, has less impact on the soil and air quality, and does a good job of protecting all of the species mentioned in the EIS. I'm glad that Native Americans were consulted in this endeavour. With global warming, we just don't know how much snow we will receive in the years ahead. I like that Alternate 3 seems to close roads that have already experienced snow melt. These road closures protect the road and species that frogs that might use the ponds left behind after snow melt.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Julie Beer