

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/17/2021 4:51:59 PM

First name: Rich

Last name: Holcroft

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am an avid recreationalist in the GMUG forest and travel there often to recreate on motorcycles, ATV's and Snowmobiles. I also hunt elk in the forest.

I support Alternative C of the management plan, but we still need to do some work on that alternative as I am concerned existing routes are not protected from proposed management.

With the huge increases in people recreating in the national forest, we need more miles of roads and more areas to recreate than ever before. This alternative is a step in the right direction. This alternative allows the USFS flexibility in the future to address the ever increasing possibility of huge wildfires and allows some flexibility for the future.

I do have concerns that the trail densities are not high enough. Density should be based on the best available science and in accordance with CPW wildlife management goals.

I often use motorized means to access the different areas of the forest and then walk from there. As such I appreciate the ability to use existing roads to access the vast forest. This type of hybrid access is not usually considered by planning. Everything seems to be so black and white, motorized or wilderness. In real life there is very little black and white but lots of shades of grey.

thank you!