

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/13/2021 3:26:38 PM

First name: Virginia

Last name: Bottorff

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Isn't it enough that we clear cut forests, forests that negate the carbon emissions we impose on our planet? Isn't it enough that we destroy areas used by wild animals for their very survival, their homes?

Therefore:

I oppose using chainsaws to clear trails in Wilderness. Visitors to Wilderness should be allowed to experience nature on its own terms, which includes risks that naturally exist. Falling trees are a natural hazard that anyone who enters a Wilderness must be willing to accept-these are not "managed" forests, gardens, or city parks.

The Forest Service could instead post warning signs at trailheads alerting visitors to the risk, and letting visitors decide whether they want to accept the risk or hike somewhere else. Dead trees should be allowed to fall naturally, with crosscut saws then used to clear trails of fallen trees that must be cleared. This presents an outstanding educational opportunity to inform visitors of the benefits of Wilderness, of allowing natural processes to run their course, and the value of maintaining traditional skills.

I oppose using chainsaws to clear trails in Wilderness.

Dead and dying trees should be left alone in Wilderness.

The Forest Service should use crosscut saws, not chainsaws, to clear trails of only the fallen trees that must be removed.

Wilderness visitors should be allowed to experience nature on its own terms, including any risks that entails.

The Forest Service needs to exclude all Wilderness areas from this project, including any encroachment from activity on wilderness boundaries.