

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/29/2021 9:26:54 PM

First name: jan

Last name: boyer

Organization: OnceAForest.org

Title: Friend

Comments: I am writing about the Santa Fe Mountains Resiliency Project. I use the project area of the forest for recreational, spiritual, health & healing, and community gatherings.

Do not do this project because of the impacts of this project on the forest and animals.

I do not want this project because it is harming our health to breathe all the smoke. Please do a Health Impact Assessment.

Do not allow any motorized vehicles or masticators in the wilderness areas. Do not allow motorized vehicles, masticators, or other machinery into areas that are roadless and could become wilderness areas.

Do not do the SFMLRP because the community doesn't even know it is planned. Your outreach is pathetic and one sided. 30 days is not enough time to find out about the project, understand the documents and write a response.

Do not do this project because it exacerbates climate disruption. Tell me how this project mitigates climate disruption without using your unholy hypothetical "IF." Please stop saying, "If a wildfire comes it will cause more smoke than a prescribed fire."

All this controversy and all you will do to appease the locals is go down from 24" diameter trees being killed, to 16" diameter trees being killed.

Specifically, please address:

A comprehensive Environmental Impact Statement, with a full range of alternatives, for this project. We need a full analysis of the effects of the project on the human environment and the forest ecosystem.

Site-Specific information, rather than the condition-based approach must be used in the analysis of this project.

The plans are so vague we don't know neither where the burns will occur nor how they will be carried out in a site-specific way.

A broad range of the best available science must be incorporated in the planning and analysis of this project. That has not been done so far, and science that does not support the Proposed Action has been largely ignored.

We need an EIS, transparency, and an evaluation of this project using best available, current science, which calls into question these large-scale cut and burn projects. Our old ways of doing things are not sustainable in this time of profound climate disruption.