

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/22/2021 8:38:15 PM

First name: Cassandra

Last name: Hensher

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As a Karuk/Konomihu original inhabitant of the Salmon River area and a professional archaeologist my concern with the project is focused on impacts to cultural and heritage resources. Aside from the brief statements in Intensity Factor 3, Intensity Factor 8, and Appendix D, there is no discussion of cultural resources. I am aware that the specific locations and details of cultural resources cannot be disclosed in a public document, but you are allowed to share, and I would like to know, the following: what methods have been used to identify cultural resources within the project/area of potential effects (APE); how the APE was defined; how many historic-era, pre-contact, and contemporary/traditional cultural resources are known within the APE; how many of the resources have been determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places; the methods that will be used to identify additional resources before project implementation; the specific methods that will be used to protect each resource type; which types of effects would be considered adverse and which types would be considered not adverse.

Additionally, your Environmental Assessment references your Section 106 Programmatic Agreement and certain stipulations applicable to the project, but there is no link or other information provided to access the Programmatic Agreement to read the stipulations cited. Likewise, I saw no link or access provided to the Standards and Guidelines referenced in the EA.

As a direct lineal descendant of two specific locations/properties within the project, I would like to consult with you about the proposed activities and options for protection.

Thank you,

Cassandra