

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/22/2021 5:31:30 PM

First name: Gaylan

Last name: Hellyer

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello,

First I'd like to say NO on Alternative D for the GMUG National Forest proposal.

Alternative B is pretty good. You guys listened to preliminary input, yeah. Alternative B adds areas as suitable for motorized both in summer and winter. Alternative B also has a very small area of recommended wilderness. Additionally, the RMP protects motorized access to the Continental Divide Trail and areas around the trail. This is a BIG change from preliminary drafts which closed the trail and a corridor of a mile around the entire trail.

I fully support FS management over closures or reduced access for certain user groups.

Right now more than 50% of the GMUG is a Wilderness or Roadless Area.

Even more of the forest has been reviewed for possible designation as Wilderness or Roadless areas and found unsuitable by Congress determination. These decisions not to designate are as important as the decisions to designate. This flexibility will be hugely critical to managing all types of challenges moving forward.

The GMUG has a long history of collaboratively resolving recreational and access issues and these decisions should be honored as well. You guys do a great job.

Keep it accessible. While large portions of the GMUG have been closed or restricted since the mid 1970s there is no similar effort to identify expansion areas for usage. The RMP must identify this type of area to allow for managed recreation now and into the future.

Please consider keeping as much as possible open to multi-use recreation.

Thanks for your time.