Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/19/2021 3:43:38 AM
First name: Mark
Last name: Canright
Organization:
Title:
Comments: Dear Whom it May Concern,
I am a business owner and farmer who wants to safeguard wildlife like horses and wild lands from development or harm.
The plan sets the AML extremely low at just 50-104 wild horses on 19,700 acres. Even at high AML, that's one horse for every 394 acres! This range is far too low to maintain a self-sustaining, genetically viable population of wild horses in the Territory.
With the new AML, at low AML, wild horses are provided just 600 Animal Unit Months while cattle are permitted 5,730 Animal Unit Months to graze within the Territory. Thus, the Forest Service must adjust livestock use in the Territory in order to give wild horses their fair share of the resource.
The last population survey in the Territory found that many of the horses were outside of the Territory boundary. Instead of immediate removal, the Forest Service should make every effort to relocate those horses within the boundary.
The plan provides for fertility control to be used to control the population growth rate of the horses in the Territory. The Forest Service must consider how:
(1) the use of these options will impact the health of the herd when the population is maintained at such a small population.
(2) PZP has over 30 years of proven efficacy and should be the preferred tool for use in the Territory.
(3) IUDs have not been proven humane or effective in wild, free-roaming herds and should be eliminated from further analysis.
Take care,
Mark C.