

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/17/2021 5:50:36 AM

First name: Alisa

Last name: McMahon

Organization:

Title:

Comments: \*Throw out this leftover Trump Administration proposal and start over with the values and goals of the Biden Administration as your guide and basis!

\*Any effort must include a more comprehensive rulemaking process that complies with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

\*Livestock grazing on National Forests, including millions of acres of Wilderness, significantly degrades our public lands. Impacts from grazing must be fully examined and addressed through a transparent, public process that complies with federal laws, including NEPA.

\*Instead of increasing livestock grazing on public lands, the agency should allow vacant allotments to be permanently closed.

\*Conditions often cannot support even current grazing levels. The Forest Service should not entertain a return to the excessive grazing levels permitted in the 1960s.

\*Increasing grazing would fuel livestock grazing's contribution to the climate and biodiversity crises and runs directly counter to the Biden Administration's 30x30 land conservation agenda by sacrificing what could be protected lands to the commercial livestock industry.

\*Livestock grazing is fundamentally at odds with the mandate of the Wilderness Act that Wilderness remain "untrammeled." The Rangeland Management Directives have the potential to significantly affect millions of acres of public land, including Wilderness.

\*The recovery of imperiled or threatened species must be prioritized on National Forests; livestock grazing must be managed in ways that do not jeopardize imperiled or threatened species' recovery.

\*The Forest Service must develop policies that ensure the agency will maintain authority for grazing management decisions.

\*The Forest Service must hold grazing permittees accountable for the impacts their livestock has on public lands.