

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/17/2021 3:54:02 AM

First name: Jeff

Last name: Lonn

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I strongly oppose the new directive on grazing on National Forest lands. The Rangeland Management Directives have the potential to significantly degrade millions of acres of public land, including Wilderness, where livestock grazing is fundamentally at odds with the mandate of the Wilderness Act that Wilderness remain "untrammeled." Livestock grazing has always significantly degraded our public lands. Impacts from grazing must be fully examined and addressed through a transparent, public process that complies with federal laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act.

Any revisions to Forest Service grazing policies should encourage and prioritize voluntary grazing permit retirement to reduce permitted livestock grazing across the National Forest system, including within Wilderness, so as to protect Wilderness, other public lands, and wildlife. If the Forest Service wishes to amend its directives, the Forest Service must undertake a more comprehensive rulemaking process that complies with the National Environmental Policy Act. The Forest Service must develop policies that ensure the agency will maintain authority for grazing management decisions and hold grazing permittees accountable for the impacts their livestock has on public lands. The recovery of imperiled or threatened species needs to be prioritized over grazing to not to jeopardize species' recovery.

This leftover Trump administration proposal to increase grazing would not only fuel livestock grazing's contribution to the climate and biodiversity crises, but runs directly counter to the Biden Administration's 30x30 land conservation agenda by sacrificing what could be protected lands to the commercial livestock industry, and perpetuating climate change

My preference as a frequent public land user would be to phase out livestock grazing on all public lands, just the opposite of what the new directives propose.