

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/16/2021 7:12:18 PM

First name: Jerry

Last name: Petik

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comments on FS handbook and manual 2 6 21

The FS lands and the National Grasslands have different origins, as to legislation setting them up and the purposes in doing so. The FS lands were never patented, where-as the NG were all patented and a title was given to the private owners. The Gov't. then bought these lands during the dirty 39's and early 40's from the private owners and set what we now know as the NG.

In some cases, both of these lands were and are used for the same purpose, grazing livestock. As I stated above, these lands have far different origins and different directives. When new legislation is enacted, the legislation has been specific as to which it applies.

To further make my point. Let's say someone has a law degree and is licenced in New York and moves to South Dakota and starts practicing law. He/she comes before a judge and uses procedures and laws from New York. Saying "well, this is how we do it in New York what is your problem?" States have different origins and have developed different laws and procedures that are not to be trifled with. I see the issue between the FS lands and the NG lands very similar.

Another example would be, a tractor dealer sells and repairs both John Deere tractors and Belaruse tractors and the mechanic says "I am just going to use the John Deere shop manual be-cause I don't want to use both, that's too complicated". Both tractors can have the same use but have different origins so there-fore need different manuals to operator and repair.

Therefore: The NG can be managed under the FS and still have a separate handbook and manual.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jerry Petik