

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/16/2021 5:36:27 PM

First name: Lora

Last name: Leland

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have absolutely opposed Forest Service's Trump-era Forest Service grazing plan all along! The Rangeland Management Directives have the potential to affect millions of acres of public land, including Wilderness!!!

Outrageously domestic cattle and sheep are currently authorized to graze about 8 million acres of National Forest Wilderness in the lower 48 states!!! Most of that in critical watersheds that are particularly unsuited to grazing! Over 2.5 million acres of that total is within vacant (unused and unallocated) allotments.

The original authors and supporters of the Wilderness Act of 1964 wanted to END ALL grazing in Wilderness because grazing is fundamentally at odds with Wilderness protection, but the politics at the time would not allow it. NOW the horrible negative impacts of livestock grazing are much more clearly understood, including degraded water quality and soils, the spread of invasive weeds, destruction of riparian and other important habitats, reduced forage for and displacement of native wildlife, and much more.

There must be NO grazing at all in Wildernesses and next to no grazing on any public lands already damaged and degraded by grazing!!!

The following are major argument AGAINST grazing livestock on public lands and absolutely NO grazing in any designated Wilderness Area per the Wilderness Act of 1964!

The Rangeland Management Directives have the potential to significantly affect millions of acres of public land, including Wilderness, where livestock grazing is fundamentally and proven by land destruction to be totally at odds with the mandate of the Wilderness Act that Wilderness remain "untrammeled."

Livestock grazing in our National Forests, which includes millions of acres of Wilderness, has significantly degraded and destroyed public lands. Impacts from grazing must be fully examined and addressed through a transparent, public process that complies with federal laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act.

Any revisions to Forest Service grazing policies should encourage and prioritize voluntary grazing permanent permit retirement to reduce permitted livestock grazing across the National Forest system, especially within Wildernesses, so as to protect Wildernesses, other public lands, and wildlife.

The Forest Service should absolutely and unquestionably NOT return grazing to the outrageously excessive levels permitted in the 1960s!!! It is clear public land conditions cannot support increased, or even current, grazing levels!

The Forest Service must develop policies that ensure the agency will maintain authority for grazing management decisions and hold all grazing permittees/ranchers fully FINANCIALLY accountable TO PAY out of their own pockets for the impacts their livestock have already had and will have on public lands.

The recovery of imperiled or threatened species absolutely MUST be give priority in National Forests and all livestock grazing managed or totally eliminated so as not to jeopardize species' recovery!!!

This unconscionable leftover proposal from the anti-environmental, anti-wildlife, anti-Wilderness Trump administration to increase grazing would fuel the livestock grazing already destructive contribution to the climate and biodiversity crises!!! Plus, it runs directly counter to the Biden Administration's 30x30 land conservation

agenda by sacrificing what could be protected lands to the commercial livestock industry!!

The Forest Service MUST do the RIGHT thing for Wilderness, other public lands, and wildlife by dropping its Trump proposed Rangeland Management Directives!!!

If the Forest Service wishes to amend its directives, the Forest Service must undertake a more comprehensive rule making process that complies with the National Environmental Policy Act putting the protection of Wilderness areas and all public lands over the demands of the livestock industry!