

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/16/2021 5:52:17 PM

First name: Yvonne

Last name: Ruben

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing because I am not in favor of the FS's proposed revisions because they would expand grazing across our National Forests and within Wilderness areas. This would be the effect of directing vacant allotments to be fully restocked and by returning grazing to the excessive levels permitted in the 1960s. Instead of increasing grazing on public lands, it is essential that the FS move in the opposite direction to protect our public lands. The FS should permanently close vacant allotments and should protect Wilderness, other public lands, and wildlife by limiting, rather than increasing, inappropriate livestock grazing in National Forests.

This proposal does not hold livestock grazers accountable since it does not set up appropriate standards to adequately monitor conditions on the ground, and it excludes the public from some grazing decision processes.

Expanded grazing will add to what livestock grazing already contributes to the climate and biodiversity crises. It is counter to our current Administration's 30x30 land conservation agenda.

I urge that the Forest Service to protected lands, rather than giving in to the commercial livestock industry.