

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/16/2021 7:15:47 AM

First name: Johanna

Last name: Marvel

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I believe the Biden administration's words were: "implement a Government-wide approach that reduces climate pollution in every sector of the economy; increases resilience to the impacts of climate change; protects public health; conserves our lands, waters, and biodiversity."

In what way does expanding Forest Service grazing by directing vacant allotments to be fully restocked and to return grazing to the levels that were permitted in the 1960's comply to that? The Forest Service cannot propose changes in direct opposition to the Biden administration's request that federal agencies address the climate and biodiversity crises.

Livestock grazing is detrimental to ecosystem health. This is proven. And easily addressed by ending all livestock grazing on our National Forest managed lands.

As that, for some reason, seems to be beyond the Forest Service's interests, then we must insist all livestock grazing impacts be fully examined and addressed through a transparent, public process that complies with federal laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act.

The Forest Service must also develop policies that ensure the agency will maintain authority for grazing management decisions and hold grazing permittees accountable for the impacts to public lands created by their privately owned livestock.

Any revisions to Forest Service grazing policies should encourage and emphasize voluntary conservation efforts. Put more simply: policies must reduce permitted livestock grazing across the National Forest system.

We must move forward, not back!