

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/21/2021 6:01:56 PM

First name: Anon

Last name: Anon

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I strongly object to this proposal. Citizen use of our public lands always carries some amount of risk. Smoking cigarettes, cigars, and pipes, camping and traveling on forest roads clearly have far greater incidence of starting forest fires than does recreational shooting. Are you going to ban smoking and camping and driving in/on public lands, too? Those activities clearly result in the same user conflicts, failure to follow rules and guidelines, failure to clean up, along with resource damage, regular wildfires, and risks to public safety. Hunting on public land has accounted for infinitely more incidents of injury. Yet it is recreational target shooting that is the subject of this proposal. It is clear that public safety is not the ultimate objective of this proposal, but instead the objective is to strip the rights of citizens to use their land in their pursuit of recreation because that pursuit involves firearms. This is a very un-Colorado proposal. It is a fundamental truth that limiting areas to pursue recreation severely curtails the activity by creating a bottle neck of available space and increasing travel time to those limited locations. It also provides the opportunity for the government to begin charging the public for access to these limited areas, even though this is public property. This transparent attempt at shrinking firearm use/rights and seeking yet another way for the government to charge fees for access to our property has not gone unnoticed. It is shameful.