

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/28/2020 4:43:00 AM

First name: Kathleen

Last name: Fisher

Organization:

Title:

Comments: My first question is why was there not an EIS done on this project.

This is a huge complex project that deserves much more time for public comment as well as more public meetings and site visits. These should occur prior to each phase of the project. Course correction should be possible as new information becomes available.

No trees over 20" in diameter should be cut if this project is true restoration and not a straight up logging operation. It's a no brainer that old growth trees are more fire resistant.

A monitoring and tracking program must be developed along with measures to adapt the project over time.

ATV access should be scrapped. ATV's are not compatible with forest restoration.

I strongly oppose large fuel breaks in old-growth reserves and recommend thinning small trees from below in Roadless Areas.

Salvage logging in Roadless Areas should not be permitted. It is simply too destructive and the forest should be allowed to recover on its own.

The Twisp forests are basically healthy. As a part time Twisp resident I would not want to see damage to this forest done in the name of restoration.

Thank you