

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/28/2020 9:06:54 PM

First name: Kathryn

Last name: Skinner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Based on the Stibnite Gold Draft EIS #50516, the only alternative that should be considered is Alternative 5 or a denial of the project. Alternatives 1-4, all propose dramatic changes to the landscape, habitat, water quantity and water quality that will detrimentally affect fish, wildlife and potentially community water sources. The Chinook Salmon, Steelhead and Bull Trout are all listed as Endangered Species under the ESA. Increased toxins, sediments, decreased and or altered water flow, and increased water temperatures will have a serious negative effect on their survival. Even with mitigation plans, there is no guarantee that they will be 100 % successful and even the smallest failure would be catastrophic. Gold mines both current and throughout history have had an extremely high failure rate. The proposed Burntlog road would pass through an inventoried roadless area on the border of the Frank Church Wilderness area and would negatively effect flora, fauna, streams, as well as recreational use and enjoyment of the area. Tons of toxic chemicals will be brought into and hauled out of the site. The EIS fails to adequately address the potential of even one spill and its affects on the environment. It is hard to believe that with year round activity over the course of the lifetime of this project, in a harsh environment with steep and treacherous roadways that at least one accident will not happen. The negative impacts of this project on the forest, waterways, fish and wildlife will last forever.