

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/27/2020 2:49:01 AM

First name: Eric

Last name: Schuda

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I respectfully ask that electric bicycles be removed from the definition of a "motor vehicle."

Clear electric bicycle policies and rules will facilitate management, give more Americans opportunities to explore our public lands, and provide common sense solutions for pressing issues such as traffic congestion, parking, maintenance and emissions reduction. Forest Service policies and laws concerning electric bicycle use on public lands are outdated and are confusing for land managers, consumers, small businesses and local governments. These changes are a step in the right direction toward resolving that confusion, and it's necessary to properly define the three classes of electric bicycles consistently. Modernizing the definition of electric bicycles so that they may be used much like regular bicycles will encourage the safe use of electric bicycles and ensure more sensible access.

However, these proposed changes could result in a substantial number of non-motorized trails, paths, or roads being converted to motorized in order to allow electric bicycles - an unintended consequence and management strategy for the vast network of non-motorized Forest Service areas. Due to the risks associated with re-designating our non-motorized facilities as motorized, I do not support the proposed revised directives as written. Instead, electric bicycles should be removed from the definition of "motor vehicle" and considered a non-motorized use.

Electric bicycles are ridden, and should be managed, like traditional bicycles rather than motor vehicles. The desires of e-bike riders are similar to that of regular bike riders, and in most state and federal statutes, electric bicycles are defined as bicycles, excluded from motor vehicle classifications, and generally allowed on non-motorized trails.