Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/26/2020 6:01:37 AM First name: Alisa Last name: McMahon Organization: Title: Comments: I am an avid hiker and wilderness lover.

I oppose the Forest Service's proposed rule to open up my National Forest lands to additional e-bike use for the following reasons:

\* Because of their speed, e-bikes conflict with nonmotorized trail users like hikers, horseback riders, and bicyclists.

\* Because of their speed, e-bikes can travel much farther into the backcountry, and startle and disturb wildlife over far greater distances

\* New e-bikes are being developed that will drive up to 55 mph. E-bikes must continue to be treated as motor vehicles, not bicycles. E-bikes must be limited to travel only where motor vehicles are allowed.

\* This proposed rule suggests likely environmental impacts that should be fully evaluated through an environmental impact statement (EIS) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

\* This proposed rule appears to set up conflicts with the Forest Service's Travel Management Rule (36 CFR 212 et seq).

\* Currently, there is almost no enforcement for trespass, illegal off-trail riding, and illegal trail development by the biking community. E-bikes would compound this problem, further degrading the wild character of these lands. This is unacceptable!

The Forest Service should withdraw this proposal.