Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/6/2014 1:16:13 AM
First name: Ilona
Last name: Anderson
Organization: Great Old Broads For Wilderness
Title: Ms.
Comments:
To Whom It May Concern:
I have several issues that I am concerned about. To begin with I, along with many other groups, am in favor of plan C. Plan C has more protection for the wilderness than B. Considering that Flagstaff and other areas will continue to be developed it is extremely important that we protect the wilderness or else it will be gone, covered with buildings

Secondly I see very little about conserving water. This has been one of the most contentious issues in the last couple of years. I strongly state that snowmaking should be an incompatible use on the Coconino Forest. The EPA predicts that springtime precipitation is likely to decrease significantly, making it more difficult to meet water demands during the summer, when conditions are the driest. This also means that there will be an increase in forest fires. The time is near when there will be "Peak Water". There could be conflicts even between Mexico and the United States. It would be all about water rights. So, condoning snowmaking is really in opposition to the study by the EPA. It is also predicted by this study that there will be at least 30% less water in the future.

My last comment has to do with the Walnut Canyon area. I believe that the Forest Service management area be enlarged to cover the entire footprint of the Walnut Canyon Study Area and consider it for a special designations with restrictions on trading land. The restrictions should be such that only an act of Congress could change this.