Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/24/2020 4:49:22 AM First name: Timothy Last name: Ganstrom Organization: Title:

Comments: Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments to your proposed new rules 7700 & amp; 7710. As a traditional mountain biker, and e-biker, it's important to me that we are careful in how we manage electric-assist mountain bikes on trails to protect the user experience of those recreating on non-motorized USFS trails.

I'm extremely concerned about the current approach to classify e-bikes as motorized vehicles.

This solution will jeopardize funding sources to maintain traditionally non-motorized trails and, by not aligning with recent decisions made within the DOI agencies, will cause more confusion among e-mountain bikers on where they can and cannot ride. More importantly, this solution will pit mountain bikers against hikers and equestrians once a proposal is made to allow e-bikes on a previously non-motorized trail by changing it to a motorized designation. The current proposals are also cumbersome and require more resources within an already constrained Federal Agency.

I recommend the following simpler solutions:

Adopt Class 1 e-bikes as non-motorized transportation.

Adopt Class 2 and 3 e-bikes as motorized transportation.

Allow Class 1 on non-motorized trails upon completion of an environmental review and public comment process, driven by local forests and/or districts.

Prohibit Class 2 and 3 on non-motorized trails.

Encourage programmatic NEPA review of eMTB impact on non-motorized trails, at the District, Forest or Regional level, to ease the review burden on a trail by trail basis.

Approach eMTB access by using a "Closed Unless Signed Open" basis.

By allowing Class 1 on non-motorized trails on a case by case basis and upon completion of a review process, the USFS offers flexibility at the local level and preserves maintenance funding sources that can be pursued by hikers, equestrians, and mountain bikers to help maintain thousands of miles of trail throughout the United States. Class 1 e-bike technology is quickly becoming ubiquitous, so the above approach also makes enforcement easier and reduces consumer confusion. Class 1 e-bikes really should be treated as 'accessibility' for less-able-bodied individuals. If handicap mobility scooters can share a trail with normal walking people, then Class 1 e-bikes can easily share a trail with traditional pedal bikes. Pedal assist technology is a great benefit to older people, and other people with health challenges who want to keep up an active healthy lifestyle in spite of their physical limitations. And honestly, in my experience, because of the smoothing of power delivery, the pedal assist bikes actually have less impact on the dirt than do traditional pedal bikes which rely solely on the naturally episodic power of legs. (As a mechanical engineer, I notice these things...)

It is my understanding that the above approach is also in line with the wishes of People for Bikes, the International Mountain Bicycling Association, multiple mountain bike manufacturers, as well as my own local mountain bike organization, the Evergreen Mountain Bike Alliance.

Thank you, -TDG