

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/24/2020 12:51:07 AM

First name: Michael

Last name: O'Haegher

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Thank you for giving us the opportunity to comment, and for listening to those that are using the trails. I'm concerned about the current approach to classify e-bikes as motorized vehicles. I do not oppose allowing eMTBs on the trails, but I'm concerned about opening trails up to motorized vehicles, and jeopardizing the safety and viability of those trails for non-motorized use.

I recommend the following simpler solutions:

*Adopt Class 1 e-bikes as non-motorized transportation.

*Adopt Class 2 and 3 e-bikes as motorized transportation.

*Allow Class 1 on non-motorized trails upon completion of an environmental review and public comment process, driven by local forests and/or districts.

*Prohibit Class 2 and 3 on non-motorized trails

*Encourage programmatic NEPA review of eMTB impact on non-motorized trails, at the District, Forest or Regional level, to ease the review burden on a trail by trail basis.

*Approach eMTB access by using a "Closed Unless Signed Open" basis.

By allowing Class 1 on non-motorized trails on a case by case basis and upon completion of a review process, the USFS offers flexibility at the local level and preserves maintenance funding sources that can be pursued by hikers, equestrians, and mountain bikers to help maintain thousands of miles of trail throughout the United States. Class 1 e-bike technology is quickly becoming ubiquitous, so the above approach also makes enforcement easier and reduces consumer confusion.

It is my understanding that the above approach is also in line with the wishes of People for Bikes, the International Mountain Bicycling Association, multiple mountain bike manufacturers, as well as my own local mountain bike organization, the Evergreen Mountain Bike Alliance.

Thank you.