

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/23/2020 4:54:01 PM

First name: David

Last name: Fusilli

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hi my name is Dave Fusilli. I have been a professional kayaker for over a decade. I learned to kayak around the age of 7 in western PA where coal mining was very prevalent. Here, the damage to the rivers and streams is still as apparent as a broken window in your BMW. Therefore, these decisions should be very well thought out. The time frame for commenting and overall knowledge of this project should be extended.

Further, the given comment period does not account for input from citizens who may have had their time/ bandwidth further limited due to life events (such as births and deaths) and/or the extensive amount of natural events (wildfires and hurricanes) that have impacted citizens during the limited given comment period. In order to hear public input, you must account for the true limitations and lack of inclusivity for citizens of different circumstances in the given number of provided days. Also, the DEIS for the Stibnite Gold Project contains an enormous amount of information, much of which has not been readily available to the public. It is unreasonable to assume that 45 days plus the given partial mini-extension is enough time to thoroughly examine and comment on a document thousands of pages long. The full extension of 120 days is needed as a minimum to review the document in a way for citizens to be able to submit substantive comments.