

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/14/2020 3:53:01 AM

First name: Semolina

Last name: Schillberg

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I understand that in areas where wildfires have been suppressed for the last 150 years, trees have grown large in a density that puts them at risk of major wild fires. I also understand that in many of those areas, if a bad burn came through, it could take out all the trees and make it difficult for the forest to ever recover in our increasingly fire-prone climate. As such, I understand the need to reconsider the current 21-inch rule. However, I believe that this is a major proposal and is absolutely worthy of a full Environmental Impact Statement. The proposed replacement for the 21-inch rule is a guideline recommending that trees over 150 years be retained. That wording allows too much wiggle room and I do not trust that there would not be instances where trees get cut for economic reasons, even when that is not an ecologically sound decision. These forests already contain a deficit of older trees, so I believe that it is important to retain some strict rules around certain trees that absolutely cannot be cut. Additionally, addressing the issue more thoroughly and creating precise rules rather than loose guidelines, will ultimately aid in efficiency within the Forest Service.