

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/11/2020 5:41:46 PM

First name: George

Last name: jones

Organization:

Title:

Comments: o Whom it May Concern,

I am a part-time resident of Donnelly Idaho and spend half of the year in Lecanto, FL. I love Idaho for its natural beauty, and feel Midas will protect this, and in some cases, improve the health of the environment.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on Midas Gold Idaho's Alternative 2. Over the last several years, I've had the opportunity to hear a handful of presentations on the Stibnite Gold Project, sit down with project staff and observe how the company has lived out its mission as it has grown. It is with this knowledge that I encourage you to permit the Stibnite Gold Project.

Of all the options being considered by the USFS, Alternative 2 seems to me to be the best for cleaning up Stibnite and protecting the environment for generations to come. The other alternatives all leave significant room for improvement. In Alternative 3, the tailings storage facility location is not as safe as it would be placed in a pristine part of the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River while Alternative 2 keeps the facility in an area that has already been impacted by mining. Alternative 4 would keep access roads where they are today (right next to important waterways), while Alternative 2 moves access roads to site away from streams, creeks and rivers to reduce the risk of spills and minimize sedimentation.

The USFS has provided sufficient time to review the document and provide comments. Those who are actually interested in the project have taken the time to review the summary provided on this page. It is time to move forward. I highly encourage the U.S. Forest Service to pick alternative 2 as the preferred alternative for the Stibnite Gold Project.

Please keep my comments in mind, G. Bruce Jones