

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/26/2020 3:31:11 PM

First name: aaron

Last name: blankenship

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I think it is telling the opponents of access for Class I EMTB's treated as bicycles as defined by Congress under law cite no actual facts but make unsubstantiated claims. The only studies performed have seen negligible damage compared to regular mountain bikes. The "speed" issues and "injuries" claimed never materialized in areas where they have been allowed. I would also point out that the major manufactures are LOWERING the power. Example: The Levo Superlight has sold out in it's first year being a lower powered version of the "turbo Levo". These individuals who claim that destruction, noise, injuries and high powered vehicles are just voicing their fear of change and there exists no data to support these claims. Would they ban humans from walking on trails for having a pacemaker which is an assist motor? After all they claim, "a motor is a motor".

I support Class I ebikes to have access to all trails available to bicycles as the product is defined by Congress AS A BICYCLE. This reduces regulation and conforms to current federal law.