

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/23/2020 3:55:35 AM

First name: David

Last name: Labiner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I support alternative B for South Fork. We are concerned about some things in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. First, only 9 places to park will be available. In the Spring, when birding activity is greatest (and the gate is closed) where will cars and other vehicles park? If user fees are reinstated, they should be used to offset annual cost of upkeep of this area. It is not clear why the proposal closes the gate to South Fork for four months each year. The rationale for this need clarification. The closest part of the border is over 35 miles from this area and a proposed border wall have no impact on this plan and should be removed from the draft.

Border Wall comments. There were several references in the document to the border wall; references that seemed unnecessary and jarring, and likely to reduce support for the plan. Additionally, we believe they do not have any relevance to the Environmental Assessment. We would like those references removed.