Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/5/2020 10:55:41 AM First name: G.B. Last name: Carson Organization: Title: Comments: I would like to thank the Forest Service for all of the work that has gone into the Forest Plan. I was especially excited to see that the Forest Plan includes the following: Recommended wilderness in the Crazy Mountains and recognition of the area as important to the Crow Tribe.. Statement of issues and/or parts of the plan revision to which the objection applies: My objections apply to the following issues in the Custer Gallatin Land Management Plan: Cowboy Heaven (MG-CHBCA) Crazy Mountains Backcountry Area (BC-CMBCA) South Crazy Mountain Recommended Wilderness Chalk Buttes Backcountry Area (SX-CBBCA) Pryor Mountains BCAs Elimination of recommended Wilderness, including Lionhead Hyalite area (MG-HREA) Concise statements explaining the objection and suggestions on how the proposed plan should be improved: Cowboy Heaven (MG-CHBCA): I strongly object to Cowboy Heaven not being recommended for Wilderness. Cowboy Heaven never should have been left out of the Lee Metcalf Wilderness in the first place. Please add this special area for wilderness designation. Please incorporate this important element of the Gallatin Forest Partnership Agreement by

Crazy Mountains:

recommending Cowboy Heaven for wilderness in the final plan.

The Crazy Mountains, besides having significant historical importance as a Native American spiritual refuge, are currently far too restricted to the public due to illegal private ownership blockades. Both wilderness designation

and more access are badly needed. The proposed Backcountry Area should be modified to prohibit mechanized use. There are no existing mountain bike trails in this area and leaving the door open for the trails to become designated down the road only invites conflict where there doesnt need to be any. With mountain biking allowances, ebikes are soon to follow & proposed Backcountry Area should be modified to prohibit mechanized use. There are no existing mountain bike trails in this area and leaving the door open for the trails to become designated down the road only invites conflict where there doesnt need to be any. With mountain biking allowances, ebikes are soon to follow & proposed Backcountry Area should be modified to prohibit mechanized use.

Foot or hoof travel only! I feel very strongly that the area should include a suitability component similar to the Bad Canyon backcountry area: The backcountry area is not suitable for mechanized transport, except use of game carts.

I would also like to see the Crazies BCA expanded east to include sections 4, 22, 26, and 34. If the proposed East Crazy Mountains land swap goes through, this will be a contiguous area and should be managed consistently with the adjacent backcountry area.

I was extremely pleased to see the Crazies receive some recommended wilderness protection. While I wish it was much bigger, I understand that there are many interests Supervisor Erickson is balancing. However, I ask that the South Crazy Mountains recommended wilderness be expanded east. That would help to make a larger contiguous recommended wilderness area.

## Chalk Buttes:

The Chalk Buttes are another important place where I would like to see improved language in the backcountry area plan components. unknown area to me Similar to the Crazy Mountains, there are no existing designated mountain bike trails here. The Backcountry area should include the following suitability component in order to protect the current wild character of the area: The backcountry area is not suitable for mechanized transport, except use of game carts.

## Pryor Mountains:

While I appreciate that recommended wilderness was expanded in Alternative F, I would like to see Punch Bowl and Big Pryor also recommended for wilderness. unknown area to me For these reasons, I request that the Big Pryor and Punch Bowl areas be recommended for wilderness in the final plan.

## Elimination of recommended Wilderness:

I was extremely disappointed that the Forest Service decided to eliminate 26,135 acres that had been previously recommended in the 1986 and 1987 plans respectively. I am particularly disappointed to see that the Lionhead recommended wilderness has been eliminated. unknown area to me. Please consider reincorporating the Lionhead, Burnt Mountain, Republic, Mystic, and Line Creek Plateau as recommended wilderness in the new plan. It is important that we continue to protect these areas as recommended wilderness because the wilderness character has not changed there since they were recommended in the old plans.

## Hyalite:

I encourage the Forest Service to fully implement the Gallatin Forest Partnership agreement, including key elements of the agreement that would protect Hyalite. Currently the plan doesnt offer any protection for the South Cottonwood Area or Mount Blackmore. The Gallatin Valley Land Trust has been a model for the growing population of Bozeman for close by access to the forest. South Cottonwood and the Hyalite area are similarly close to town and should not be disturbed by logging. It is important that these areas receive protection as the Gallatin Forest Partnership recommends.

In addition, I would like to see the Hyalite Recreation Emphasis area include the standard that was in Alternative C in the DEIS: Construction of new motorized trails shall not be allowed. It is very important that we ensure that the motorized footprint does not continue to expand because of the impact it has on the opportunity for high quality recreation for other users. There is plenty of motorized opportunity in Hyalite as is. Please include this important standard to ensure that Hyalite continues to be a place where users of all types can have high quality recreation experiences.

more, not less wilderness designation is vital, especially in these troubled times

Statement demonstrating the link between objection and prior formal comments:

I submitted a comment on the draft plan and draft EIS last spring. While I appreciate that many things I supported are included in the final plan, my objections speak to those priorities from my prior comments that were not included. Thank you for considering these objections that I believe could significantly improve the final plan.