Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/3/2020 11:20:19 PM

First name: Anthony Last name: Pavkovich

Organization:

Title:

 $\label{thm:comments:optimize} Comments: I would like to thank the Forest Service for all of the work that has gone into the Forest Plan. I was$

especially excited to see that the Forest Plan includes the following:

Recommended wilderness in the Crazy Mountains and recognition of the area as important to the Crow Tribe. Expansion of recommended wilderness in Lost Water Canyon and the addition of Bear Canyon in the Pryor Mountains. Maintaining the primitive character and management of the roadless areas in the Ashland Ranger District (Tongue River Breaks, King Mountain, and Cook Mountain). Ensuring recommended wilderness will be managed without non-conforming uses. Incorporating many elements of the Gallatin Forest Partnership, including recommended wilderness for the Gallatin Range..

Statement of issues and/or parts of the plan revision to which the objection applies:

My objections apply to the following issues in the Custer Gallatin Land Management Plan:

Cowboy Heaven (MG-CHBCA)

Crazy Mountains Backcountry Area (BC-CMBCA)

South Crazy Mountain Recommended Wilderness

Chalk Buttes Backcountry Area (SX-CBBCA)

Pryor Mountains BCAs

Elimination of recommended Wilderness, including Lionhead

Hyalite area (MG-HREA)

Concise statements explaining the objection and suggestions on how the proposed plan should be improved:

Cowboy Heaven (MG-CHBCA):

I strongly object to Cowboy Heaven not being recommended for Wilderness. Designating Cowboy Heaven as wilderness would link two important and diverse wilderness areas. Having run through it summers ago, it is wild country valuable to at risk wildlife. Even Bozeman's mountain biking community agrees it deserves wilderness recommendation. Please incorporate this important element of the Gallatin Forest Partnership Agreement by recommending Cowboy Heaven for wilderness in the final plan.

Crazy Mountains:

Protecting the crazies is important, particularly as a conduit for wildlife to move out into the prairie. Protecting more of this landscape as wilderness secures wildlife habitat and keeps the landscape unfragmented. Please consider expanding the boundaries of the wilderness recommendations in the Crazies. The proposed Backcountry Area should be modified to prohibit mechanized use. There are no existing mountain bike trails in this area and leaving the door open for the trails to become designated down the road only invites conflict where there doesnt need to be any. As a zoning document, the forest plan has no need to allow mountain biking on the east side of the Crazies while there are currently no mountain biking trails. Please keep this area open for primitive recreation. Also, please fight for and write into the plan the historic public access on the east side. I feel very strongly that the area should include a suitability component similar to the Bad Canyon backcountry area: The backcountry area is not suitable for mechanized transport, except use of game carts.

I would also like to see the Crazies BCA expanded east to include sections 4, 22, 26, and 34. If the proposed East Crazy Mountains land swap goes through, this will be a contiguous area and should be managed consistently with the adjacent backcountry area.

I was extremely pleased to see the Crazies receive some recommended wilderness protection. While I wish it was much bigger, I understand that there are many interests Supervisor Erickson is balancing. However, I ask that the South Crazy Mountains recommended wilderness be expanded east. That would help to make a larger contiguous recommended wilderness area.

Chalk Buttes:

The Chalk Buttes are another important place where I would like to see improved language in the backcountry area plan components. The Chalk Buttes near Ekalaka are a trail-less hikers paradise: there are no trails and the rough, challenging landscape is not conducive to mountain biking. Opening this area to mountain bikes would be harmful to the primitive characteristics of this place and bring unnecessary conflicts to this habitat. Similar to the Crazy Mountains, there are no existing designated mountain bike trails here. The Backcountry area should include the following suitability component in order to protect the current wild character of the area: The backcountry area is not suitable for mechanized transport, except use of game carts.

Pryor Mountains:

While I appreciate that recommended wilderness was expanded in Alternative F, I would like to see Punch Bowl and Big Pryor also recommended for wilderness. The Pryors deserve more wilderness protection. Punch Bowl and Big Pryor Mountain retain there untrammeled character and are key components of this unique range. They are a big aspect of why I visit the Pryors for solitude and quiet. For these reasons, I request that the Big Pryor and Punch Bowl areas be recommended for wilderness in the final plan.

Elimination of recommended Wilderness:

I was extremely disappointed that the Forest Service decided to eliminate 26,135 acres that had been previously recommended in the 1986 and 1987 plans respectively. I am particularly disappointed to see that the Lionhead recommended wilderness has been eliminated. Lionhead should still be recommended wilderness. Development on this range has not changed and it is a key area for wildlife out of yellowstone. Just because one recreation group has been using the area against the previous recommendation, does not mean it should loose its recommended status. This is important, wild country that should be protected for the next generation.. Please consider reincorporating the Lionhead, Burnt Mountain, Republic, Mystic, and Line Creek Plateau as recommended wilderness in the new plan. It is important that we continue to protect these areas as recommended wilderness because the wilderness character has not changed there since they were recommended in the old plans.

Hyalite:

I encourage the Forest Service to fully implement the Gallatin Forest Partnership agreement, including key elements of the agreement that would protect Hyalite. Currently the plan doesnt offer any protection for the South Cottonwood Area or Mount Blackmore. South Cottonwood and Blackmore are important parts of the Hyalite area. These regions of the forest are prized for their recreation and scenic qualities. They should not be given a designation that allows logging and road building. These are some of my most frequented areas for running in Bozeman. I escape here for the quiet recreational opportunities and the chance to see wildlife. It is important that these areas receive protection as the Gallatin Forest Partnership recommends.

In addition, I would like to see the Hyalite Recreation Emphasis area include the standard that was in Alternative C in the DEIS: Construction of new motorized trails shall not be allowed. It is very important that we ensure that the motorized footprint does not continue to expand because of the impact it has on the opportunity for high quality recreation for other users. There is plenty of motorized opportunity in Hyalite as is. Please include this important standard to ensure that Hyalite continues to be a place where users of all types can have high quality recreation experiences.

Thank you for working so closely with the community.

Statement demonstrating the link between objection and prior formal comments:

I submitted a comment on the draft plan and draft EIS last spring. While I appreciate that many things I supported are included in the final plan, my objections speak to those priorities from my prior comments that were not included. Thank you for considering these objections that I believe could significantly improve the final plan.