

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/11/2020 5:49:17 PM

First name: Kevin

Last name: Mayer

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Whitney Creek Proposal #58221

Hello Mr Klein:

First, my apologies for this late submission--I only just became aware of this proposal via the Colorado Sun. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

As a longtime resident of Colorado Springs, I've seen the town grow exponentially. Those of us who have been a while and have paid attention have known that water would become an ever-larger issue. It seems to me that we've reached something of a crossroads--do we continue to exploit Colorado's natural resources in the pursuit of sacred growth? Count me as opposed. While aware of economic realities (I'm a former banker), I feel we're destroying the state. Thousands move here every year, increasing the burden on resources. There are those that feel this is inevitable--hey, it's a great place and you can't forbid people from coming. Maybe not, but we can discourage them. How, you ask? Simple--by declining to rape the state in order to acomodate the swelling population. Stop the ceaseless pursuit of water, the never-ending expansion of roads. I believe this will discourage migration to this state and, ironically, help to alleviate the problem. Make the place less attractive and fewer people will come. Fewer (or, God forbid, no) people come, and the problems start to solve themselves. Magic. Heresy, the developers will cry, but let's be real--who will be harmed by a drop in Colorado's population, hmmm?

Please count me as opposed to the Whitney Creek proposal, and thank you again for the opportunity to comment.

Kevin Mayer

Colorado Springs