

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/29/2020 7:00:00 AM

First name: Grady

Last name: McCombs

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Re: North Fork Nooksack Vegetation Management Project

In addition to these comments I would like to add that you are going to

need to prove under which CFR Regulation you are qualifying this

Amendment. There is no science to support a lack of native tree species

diversity. I assume you are going to argue 219.9c due to your claim of

creating Huckleberry Habitat: 219.9(c)-"Species of conservation concern.

For purposes of this subpart, a species of conservation concern is a

species, other than federally recognized threatened, endangered,

proposed, or candidate species, that is known to occur in the plan area

and for which the regional forester has determined that the best

available scientific information indicates substantial concern about the

species' capability to persist over the long-term in the plan area."

There is absolutely no way you are going to prove that Huckleberries,

Pollinators, or Elk are a species of long-term concern in our area. They

are not threatened whatsoever. Please let me know under which

Regulation you are qualifying this Forest Plan Amendment. Thank you.

Grady McCombs

Grady McCombs wrote on 6/29/2020 6:53 AM:

> Hello,

> I am a resident of Glacier, Washington and would like to voice my

> opposition to the forest plan amendment that you are proposing. The

> rest of the project seems fine, but amending the forest plan to create

> Huckleberry Habitat is BS in my opinion. We have a Forest Plan for a

> reason, and changing it to sell timber contracts is not part of the  
> plan. If your true goal was Huckleberry Habitat you would cut the  
> trees and leave them on the ground, as that is far more beneficial to  
> the ecosystem. That you are removing the timber for profit, is a  
> clear indicator of the true motive behind this Amendment. Namely,  
> selling Timber Contracts. Please do your duty and follow the  
> established Northwest Forest Plan's management objectives, amending  
> them for a small profit is pure negligence. Thank you,  
> Grady McCombs