

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/28/2020 8:46:56 PM

First name: Sari

Last name: Mehus

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Looking over the proposal, and visiting the North Cascades Conservation Council's site that is in opposition, I can see the value of an EIS being presented to the public, but not the alarmist buzz words use instead of presenting specific facts and concerns.

The more information we have, the better able we are to provide responsible feedback. The Forest Service's proposal looks legit to me. I am not privy to any connections with this proposal and corporate pressure to gain access for profit, and if that is an element of these actions, I would not trust the Forest Service if they are being shady. Conservation groups can monitor the activity to see if they stick to the area they are targeting in the proposal and NOT VENTURE into old growth areas to cut. Part of the problem now, is included in their proposal information, is that the logging industry improperly 're' planted too close together. Was this an effort to create a situation where 20 some yrs later logging would be needed?

We can manage our forests to protect against forest fires that cause much more damage to environment. I want to trust the Foreset Service to do their job responsibly in this proposal. I'm not convinced this proposal is a sinister plan to abuse our forest and simply promote profit. Our family is keenly interested in this, and will be watching closely.

I encourage the Forest Service to be very thoughtful and do the work as safely as possible.