

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/12/2020 7:34:38 PM

First name: kevin

Last name: steffa

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Concerning 'vegetation plan', i.e. "logging" in the upper north fork nooksack:

The town of Glacier, and the national forest boundary, simply put, is the boundary between 2 types of lands.

- To the west, is commercial timberlands, a patchwork of clearcuts that serve the economy. As a consequence, invasive weeds, such as blackberry and ivy, spread freely in the cleared corridors.

- To the east, is an intact forest, where native species thrive, and conservation and recreation are the primary uses.

Please keep these priorities straight!

Of the three zones included on the proposed map, no activity in these zones should serve a purpose other than 'enhancing forest structure', with an end goal of allowing it to attain a natural old growth state. Our public lands should not intended to serve the timber industry.

- canyon creek corridor: These look to be straight up clearcut. The soils here, within the Chukanut formation, are especially unstable, and have failed in the past. We should not be aggressively clearing in there.

- glacier creek road: This is the county's prime recreation corridor. ANY thinning of stands immediately adjacent the road will only open up the corridor to the spread of invasive weeds. While some of the stands are recovering 'young second growth' and would benefit from 'minor thinning' for health, no activity in this zone should be profit motivated. Treat this area as a 'national park'.

- thompson creek area: This area could be as prominent as the glacier creek road, as there are many underutilized potential recreation points of interest, such as deep waterfalls, and access to the the alpine slopes of Baker. Maintaining access to, and protecting these assets should be the goal here. This will help to spread out use and ease overcrowding of nearby popular sites.

Thanks for listening