

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/7/2020 10:39:33 PM

First name: Leslie

Last name: Lovejoy

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Lutsen Mountains Ski Area Expansion Project

Dear Supervisor Constance Cummins,

I appreciate the opportunity to submit scoping comments regarding the Lutsen Mountains Ski Area Expansion Project.

Before getting to my project-specific comments, I first want to express my disappointment that you are holding a 30-day comment period in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. The COVID-19 pandemic has made it extremely difficult for myself and many other people, including those without broadband internet access, to review and comment on the Notice of Availability and Proposed Action. This is a major development proposal and to move forward without any public meetings or opportunities for the public to engage directly with the Forest Service and Lutsen Mountains Corporation (LMC) is unacceptable. The Superior National Forest should extend this comment period until after the pandemic has subsided and everyone is able to provide informed comments.

The Superior National Forest should not grant LMC a Special Use Permit to expand onto Forest Service land.

The proposed Lutsen Mountains Ski Area Expansion Project threatens the future of backcountry skiing opportunities on the North Shore. Moose Mountain holds the most unbroken ski-able vertical feet in Northern Minnesota and is a valuable resource for backcountry skiers. Vertical feet of skiable pitch is a finite resource in our region, and needs to be shared. Given that half of Moose is already owned by LMC, the public lands on Moose Mountain should be left for everybody else to enjoy.

Rather than granting LMC a Special Use Permit, the Superior National Forest should consider the development of a backcountry ski area on Moose Mountain. I support Superior Highland Backcountry's vision for a Backcountry Ski Area on Moose Mountain and believe this would be a better use of these public lands. An expansion of the same old type of ski area is likely not going to bring any new visitors to our region. No matter how much Lutsen expands, the fact remains that there is only 700' of vertical terrain. While this is impressive for Minnesota, it can't compete with the draw of Western resorts for the destination skier. However, diversifying the variety of winter sports available in northern Minnesota will draw new visitors. Backcountry skiing is the fastest-growing segment of the ski industry, and adding a backcountry ski area to the mix of winter opportunities already available in Lutsen will entice more people to visit the area and strengthen the economy.

The Environmental Impact Statement for this project should include an alternative that analyzes a backcountry ski area, as proposed by Superior Highland Backcountry.

I am commenting from Steamboat Springs, CO, which hosts a large internationally known ski area. Our ski mountain has been closed since March 15, 2020 due to the coronavirus pandemic. Our town has been severely impacted and some even question if the ski area will be fully functional next winter. This is not the time to set into motion yet another ski area which may not even get off the ground. Why destroy forest land to create ski runs, build lodges and larger parking lots for crowds which may not even come, when this area is already used by a rapidly growing population of backcountry skiers and other non-motorized users, with no or little impact. Backcountry skiing is one of the fastest growing communities in our country. They also bring money to your communities and are known to be good stewards to our land. Please give us a voice in this decision and the opportunity to provide an alternative plan for Moose Mountain.

Sincerely,  
Ms. Leslie Lovejoy  
65625 CR 129 Clark, CO 80428  
leslie@lovejoygraphics.com