

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/13/2020 8:38:07 PM

First name: Dan

Last name: Pierce

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I generally agree with the proposed components/alternatives of the revised Forest Plan. Overall, greater emphasis should be placed on fuels reduction projects and timber harvest activities as part of the overall management strategy in reducing catastrophic fires, while at the same time improving wildlife habitat (via "opening up" the forest canopy where most needed), and improving growth rates for the desired tree species. Careful temporary road construction and maintaining quality riparian stream buffers should alleviate excess silt movement concerns during fuels reduction and harvest operations. This should result in a "win-win" for all concerned: wildlife habitat improvement/management, profitable harvest for paper/pulp and lumber industry, managing for desired forest tree and plant species depending upon ecological site characteristics, etc. Plus, if done correctly, timber harvest and fuels reduction projects should result in savings to taxpayers through net timber sales back into the USDA-FS operations budget. By and large, Forest Service employees (resource managers and technicians) are smart, forward-thinking individuals who should be able to perform their jobs in accordance with a quality overall management plan without dealing with frustrating and time-consuming frivolous appeals and hindrances filed by outside organizations, whether they be commercial/industrial or preservation/environmental entities. Managing for multiple use is entirely possible with careful planning, monitoring, and oversight during harvest and fuels reduction actions. Our public land needs attention NOW and in a massive way!