

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/6/2020 9:50:30 PM

First name: Riley

Last name: Egan

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have recently reviewed the released Nez Perce-Clearwater Forest Plan Revision Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Revised Forest Plan. None of the alternatives presented reflect the management I would like to see implemented for the Hoodoo Roadless Area. Please accept these comments into the formal record.

I am a Montana resident who visits the Great Burn for backpacking, fishing, and hunting. I also meet family members from Washington State and recreate with family in the Great Burn. I care about the Great Burn because it is a stunning wild landscape that is easily accessible by people from Montana, Idaho, and Washington. It can continue to provide families access to wild landscapes in a more remote setting.

A couple years ago, I took a solo trip along the divide between the Montana & Idaho borders. I was headed for St. Joe Lake as I have recreated on nearly every mile of the St. Joe River, but I had never seen the headwaters. In recent years the St. Joe River has become a less enjoyable place to recreate because of its heavy use from fisherman, ATV's, etc.

I am very concerned that this could happen to The Great Burn if motorized use is allowed in more acreage than previously before.

I am imploring the Forest Service to continue management of The Great Burn at its current non-motorized restrictions.

Recreational opportunities abound which is one of the many great things about our national forest lands. Unfortunately, there has been push by a very select group of individuals who advocate for motorized access on just about all of the NF lands.

I firmly believe in the ability and access for motorized recreation, but I also believe in the NEED to keep some areas wild and roadless.

I would ask that the Forest Service continue to manage the entire Hoodoo Roadless area as such.

The entire Hoodoo Roadless Area should continue to be managed as a non-motorized area because that's precisely what the area was set aside for management as. It has been identified to have wilderness character and thousands of people utilize the area as a non-motorized area.

Allowing winter motorized use would not only be a slippery slope, but it would be to the detriment of the wilderness character of the landscape.

Furthermore there has been increasing evidence that illegal motorized use is displacing and negatively impacting wildlife (such as Mountain Goats).

I have early memories of Kelly Creek as my father told me stories when I was too young to backpack about these gin-clear waters of Kelly Creek where Cutthroat and Bull trout readily take flies presented by anglers.

When I finally made the trek into Kelly Creek where Cayuse Creek merges, I understood what my old man was talking about. It is quintessential North Idaho Cutthroat waters. Clear, cold, beautiful. Kelly Creek and Cayuse both have characteristics worthy of a Wild & Scenic Designation. I would urge the Forest Service to recommend these as such in order to preserve their character for generations to come.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Forest Plan Revision and I urge the Forest Service to manage this wonderful landscape as it has presently. With multiple use mandate in action - INCLUDING non-motorized management. The Great Burn should be congressionally designated wilderness, so until that happens (it had already happened) it needs to be protected. Thank you

Again, thank you for the opportunity to provide insight and opinion.