

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/30/2020 11:18:35 PM

First name: Solomon

Last name: Somera

Organization:

Title:

Comments:

I am opposed to the expansion of Mission Ridge Ski Resort.

Priorities have been lost, we need natural environment before man made entertainment.

What's left of the wilderness in that mountainous area needs to be protected for the wildlife to continue to flourish.

The land is also the animals' land to live on as well.

Making money from leisure outdoors for human land use seems irresponsible to the ever shrinking natural habitat.

Can mission Ridge restructure their Resort from within the land they are already using?

The owners of this resort don't live here.

The developers, don't live here.

They just want to make more money, they don't care about the damage that will be done to that crucial space for the local animals.

The expansion and construction will clear out what Mother Nature has created long before mankind came to be. The sediment from the building and construction would make runoff that will get into the rivers can cause problems with the Salmon.

Mission Ridge does not need to compete with other Ski Resorts, let the resort stay a small town Resort.

Why does Mission Ridge need to compete?

Does the resort have to keep up with every other ski resort and keep expanding and make more attractions until the whole mountain is cleared away from all its natural habitat?

Do we need to attract more skiers to enjoy the resort to stay in business?

The snow fall will be less and less throughout the years due to global warming.

We have plenty of hotels for people to stay at in the city to have to clear out precious lands from the local animals to build luxury condos/ hotels.

It doesn't make sense to clear out natural habitat to make short term monetary gains for a company that doesn't even call this area their home. The benefits do not outweigh the loss that will happen with this expansion.

We need to think in longterm views and be more responsible about the land use.