

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/16/2020 4:20:29 AM

First name: Amy

Last name: Smiecinski

Organization:

Title:

Comments: It is my observation that wild horses have a minimal destructive effect on territory when compared to that of livestock. Livestock eat every piece of grass and trample the watering holes. Why are destructive livestock preferred over light-footed wild horses? Lobbying is the answer. Wild horses only have a voice through humans who happen to have no economic interest in them. We the wild horses, who are entitled to live freely, to be honored in accordance with the laws of Nature and those man-made laws that were set up to protect them.

1. There shall be no defining boundary fence for the Heber Territory.
2. Horses must be allowed to continue to freely cross in any direction, the boundaries of the Heber Territory onto adjacent US Forest Service (FS) lands on the Black Mesa Ranger District (BMRD).
3. Horses were on the BMRD prior to 1971 and shall be able to continue to use this area. The wild horses have a God-given right to roam the BMRD, that is supported by Federal Law.
4. It is not clear why the FS assumes that it has the ability to control wild horses and even why it is necessary to control the wild horses or any other wild animal that lives on or near FS land and the BMRD.
5. The FS cannot remove any wild horses until they are proven "excess" by the law.
6. Managing by setting a random number of 104 wild horses is illegal and not in compliance with the definition of "excess." FS cannot set arbitrary numbers of horses in the territory.
7. I do not support giving birth control (PZP) but request the law is followed by supporting the phrase in the law, management should be "minimal feasible management." PZP is an endocrine disrupter and causes permanent sterility within four years and not seven as stated by the drug producers. It is intrusive management which is not in compliance with the law.
8. Wild horses are entitled to roam the territory as a legal "right." Livestock are given a "privilege," when they are allowed, to inhabit territory. Privileges are revocable. And there is nothing in the law that gives livestock hierarchy over wild horses.