

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/14/2020 5:58:03 PM

First name: Juli

Last name: Kring

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As a mother and grandmother, I believe it is our duty to protect and preserve wildlife as precious natural resources for all future generations.

So I wish to share my thoughts and opinions on Heber Wild Horse Territory Plan #18916;

First, I am against defining boundary fence for the Heber Territory.

Horses can continue to ingress and egress from the boundaries of the Heber Territory onto adjacent US Forest Service lands on the Black Mesa Ranger District (BMRD).

Horses were on the BMRD prior to 1971 and should be able to continue to use this area.

The FS cannot remove any wild horses until they are proven "excess" by the law.

Managing by setting a number of 104 wild horses is illegal and not in compliance with the definition of "excess."

FS cannot set arbitrary numbers of horses in the territory.

I do not support giving birth control (PZP) but ask the law to be followed by supporting the phrase in the law, management should be "minimal feasible management." PZP is an endocrine disrupter and causes permanent sterility within four years and not seven as stated by the drug producers. It is intrusive management which is not in compliance with the law.

Please always remember; wild horses have a "right" to their territory whereas livestock have only a "privilege."

Thank you.

"But man is a part of nature, and his war against nature is inevitably a war against himself."

~Rachel Carson