

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/12/2020 8:29:12 PM

First name: Tom

Last name: Rawles

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Under Table 3 of the EIS (page 37), I strongly recommend that the Alternative C language be incorporated into the Plan rather than the Alternative B language. Given the vast number of unauthorized motorized roads and trails in the Park, as well as all the authorized ones, all decommissioning of trails should focus on motorized trails. Decommissioning nonmotorized trails seem counterproductive given that nonmotorized use rarely if ever interferes with motorized uses while motorized uses can completely disrupt nonmotorized uses. There are more than enough miles of roads and trails for the ATV's already.

In Table 4 of the EIS, in the first Riparian Areas Standard (page 40), a modified version of Alternative C should be incorporated into the Plan. I suggest the following: If a riparian area is non-functioning, as identified in the Proper Functioning Condition Assessment framework or similar protocol, all permitted and allowed uses that identifiably contribute to or cause the non-functioning of the riparian area will be removed until riparian recovery is achieved. This is a stronger commitment than the simple None that is in Alternative B. It will increase the need to understand why a riparian area is non-functioning and provide the power to do something about it. Doing nothing is unacceptable.